



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

*The Dangers of Municipal Ownership.* By ROBERT P. PORTER, director of the eleventh census. (New York: The Century Company).

Mr. Porter has furnished the opponents of municipal ownership (using that phrase in a broad sense, as including municipal operation, although carefully speaking it does not), with a clever and vigorous handbook. That they have not lost sight of this fact is evident from the pains they are taking to give it a wide circulation through the publicity bureaus which are now at work in an endeavor to offset the growing demand for a correction of the evils incident to the private ownership and operation of municipal monopolies,

The text of Mr. Porter's argument is to be found in the opening of the second chapter, where he declares that "trading with the public credit, whether State or municipal, *must of necessity* [italics mine] lead to stupendous financial liabilities, add to the burden of the voters, weaken municipal credit, bring about inequality of taxation, interfere with the national laws of trade, check industrial and scientific progress, stop invention, discourage industrial effort, destroy foreign trade, establish an army of officials, breed corruption, create an aristocracy of labor, demoralize the voter, and ultimately make socialistic communities of towns and cities" (pp. 26-27).

This quotation gives a fair idea of Mr. Porter's style and his method of argument. The whole book reminds one of Mr. Delmas closing argument in the Thaw trial. It is brilliant, perhaps, but not convincing argument. The fact that he cites Russia as an object lesson (chapter 3) is a further interesting illustration of his methods and his disingenuousness.

The book suffers by comparison with Senator Howe's *The City: the Hope of Democracy*, which is suffused with earnestness and sincerity of purpose. No matter how much one may differ with Mr. Howe as to a particular point, one never loses sight of his profound desire to be helpful; of his anxiety to serve the cause of human progress. Mr. Porter handles the usual arguments against socialism with skill; but he fails to identify municipal ownership and socialism, a rather serious omission. He is bold in statement, and vigorous in denunciation, but nowhere does it appear that his heart is in his work. He has a thesis to prove, and he goes about it with a certain ability and facility; but when he has finished, the opponent and the advocate of municipal ownership are precisely where they were when they started out.

CLINTON ROGERS WOODRUFF.